



1 Michael B. Garfinkel, (Cal. Bar No. 156010)
2 MGarfinkel@perkinscoie.com
3 PERKINS COIE LLP
4 1888 Century Park E., Suite 1700
5 Los Angeles, CA 90067-1721
6 Telephone: 310.788.9900
7 Facsimile: 310.788.3399

8 Joren S. Bass, (Cal. Bar No. 208143)
9 JBass@perkinscoie.com
10 PERKINS COIE LLP
11 Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 2400
12 San Francisco, CA 94111-4131
13 Telephone: 415.344.7000
14 Facsimile: 415.344.7050

15 Attorneys for Defendants
16 THE HERTZ CORPORATION, DOLLAR
17 THRIFTY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP, INC.
18 and DTG OPERATIONS, INC.

* Denied as moot in light of the Court's Order
Directing Plaintiff to Show Cause Why
Complaint Should Not Be Dismissed for Lack
of Jurisdiction, filed concurrently herewith.
Dated: July 18, 2014

19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
20 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

21 DARIUS OGLOZA,

22 Plaintiff,

23 v.

24 THE HERTZ CORPORATION,
25 DOLLAR THRIFTY AUTOMOTIVE
26 GROUP, INC.
27 and DTG OPERATIONS, INC.,

28 Defendants.

Case No. 14-cv-02103 MMC

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO
FILE OPPOSITION AND REPLY
BRIEFS TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION
TO DISMISS COMPLAINT OR IN THE
ALTERNATIVE FOR A MORE
DEFINITE STATEMENT**

Action Filed: May 7, 2014

Hearing Date: September 12, 2014

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Courtroom: Courtroom 7, 19th Floor

Before: Hon. Maxine M. Chesney

[PROPOSED] ORDER

PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES, IT IS SO ORDERED:

1. Defendants, having declined the jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge, re-noticed their Joint Motion to Dismiss Complaint or in the Alternative for a More Definite Statement (the “Motion to Dismiss”) for hearing before this Court, in accordance with the instructions of the Clerk of Court;

2. The hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is now set for September 12, 2014 at 9:00 a.m.;

3. Because Plaintiff’s counsel is unavailable to respond to Defendants’ Joint Motion to Dismiss in the timeframe provided in Civil L.R. 7-3, the parties agree to alter the briefing schedule set by Civil Local Rule 7-3 for the filing of Opposition and Reply Briefs to the Motion to Dismiss;

4. The parties stipulate that Plaintiff’s Opposition or Statement of Nonopposition is due on or before August 8, 2014;

5. The parties further stipulate that Defendants’ Reply is due on or before August 29, 2014;

6. This does not alter any existing deadline imposed by the Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____

Honorable Maxine M. Chesney
United States District Judge

LEGAL122765712